

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,209	5,209 12/15/2003		Seiji Umemoto	Q78829	1811
23373	7590	03/23/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUI			NGUYEN, THONG Q		
2100 PENN SUITE 800	SYLVAN	IA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	ron, dc	20037		2872	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/735,209	UMEMOTO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thong Q. Nguyen	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ja</u>	nnuary 2006						
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
·							
4) Claim(s) <u>26-39</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-39</u> is/are rejected.	, <u> </u>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
o) are subject to restriction units	. Gloodoff roquitornoint.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)□ objected to by the I	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	:						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/05.	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· — — —						

Application/Control Number: 10/735,209 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Jan. 04, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The present Office action is made in response to the amendment filed on Jan.04, 2006. It is noted that in the amendment, applicant has amended claim 26. The pending claims 26-39 are examined in this Office action. Note: Claims 1-25 were canceled by the applicant in the amendment of 12/15/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 26, 28-31, 36-37 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bao et al (EP 867 747, of record) in view of Nishio et al (U.S. Parent No. 5,914,825)

Bao et al disclose a reflective display system. The system comprises a transparent guide light adhesive to a panel. In columns 14-15 and figs. 9-11, the transparent light guide (20) having two surfaces in which one surface comprises

Art Unit: 2872

a pattern of prismatic elements and the other surface comprises an adhesive layer (40a) for bonding the light guide to a panel (O). The prismatic configuration as shown in figure 10 comprises a continuously set of triangular-shaped projections (facing outwardly from the surface of the light guide) or grooves (facing inwardly from the surface of the light guide) aligned in a substantially constant direction. Each projection/groove is formed by a first facet (22) defined a slightly angle with the surface of the guide light and acts as a reference, and other facet (21) of the projection/groove defined an angle larger than the angle formed by the mentioned first facet with the surface of the guide light. As shown in figure 9, the prismatic configuration comprises a plurality of prismatic projection which each extends in a direction parallel to the side (or entrance) surface of the guide light facing the light source system (30) (see also figure 11). Regarding to the feature that the transparent film has an average in-plane retardation not larger than 30 nm (or 20 nm as recited in claim 29) and the average thickness retardation is not larger than 50 nm (or 30 nm as recited in claim 29), it is noted that while Bao et al do not clearly disclosed that the transparent guide (20) has such an in-plane retardation and average thickness retardation; however, Bao et al disclose that the light guide is made by acrylic resin (see page 7) which material is one of the material for making the transparent film of the present invention (see present specification in page 13). Since the same material is used for the transparent guide (20) in the device of Bao et al and the transparent film disclosed in the present application, it is clear

Application/Control Number: 10/735,209

Art Unit: 2872

to conclude that the transparent light guide (20) provided by Bao et al has an average in-plane retardation and an average thickness retardation in the range as claimed in the present claims 26 and 28-29.

Regarding to the difference in refractive indexes between the adhesive layer and the transparent light guide, it is noted that the material for making the adhesive layer (40a) is a resin having its refractive index matching with the refractive index of the transparent guide light (20) and the panel (O). See column 14, for example.

Regarding to the use of a reflector disposed to the transparent light guide, it is noted that the panel (O) comprises a reflector (8) which is disposed close to the surface having prismatic structure.

Regarding to the value of the inclination angle of the optical changing slope, it is noted that the angle defined by the facet (21) in each of the optical changing slope with the transparent surface of the light guide (20) is 45 degrees which is larger than 35 degrees. See column 14, lines 23-24. See also In re Wertheim, 541 F. 2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976), "the disclosure in the prior art of any value within a claimed range is an anticipation of that range."

As a result, the device as provided by Bao et al meets all of the limitations of the device as claimed except the feature that the thickness of the light guide plate. In other words, while Bao et al disclose that the thickness of the transparent light guide (20) is 3 mm, they do not explicitly disclose that the thickness of the light guide is less than 300 micrometers as claimed in claim 26.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,209

Art Unit: 2872

However, the use of an optical element having a small thickness in an optical display device is known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the display device provided by Nishio et al. In particular, Nishio et al disclose a reflective element for use in a display device and teach that the thickness of the reflective element can be varied in a range of 10 (or 20) to 1000 micrometers. See columns 4 and 6, for instance. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as provided by Bao et al by using optical elements including the light guide with small thickness as suggested by Nishio et al for the purpose of obtaining a device with small scale or to match the light guide with light sources of small dimension.

5. Claims 27 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bao et al in view of Nishio et alas applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of the Japanese reference No. 11-142618 (of record).

The optical device having a transparent light guide as provided by Bao et al and Nishio et al as described above does not disclose that the adhesive layer has a strip sheet. However, the use of a combination of an adhesive layer and a strip sheet on one surface of a glass plate wherein the adhesive layer is a diffusing layer is suggested to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the optical film provided in the Japanese reference '618. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as provided by Bao et al and Nishio et al by using an adhesive layer having a diffusing feature and a strip sheet for covering the adhesive as suggested by the

Japanese reference '618 for the purpose of providing an adhesive layer having a diffusing feature and the strip sheet which strip sheet is removably used to protect the layer before the adhesive is placed in use.

6. Claims 33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bao et al in view of Nishio et al as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Qiao et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,485,291, of record).

The optical device having a transparent light guide in which a prismatic structure is formed on one surface thereof as provided by Bao et al and Nishio et al does not disclose that the prismatic structure comprises discontinuous grooves. However, the use of a light guide having a prismatic structure formed on one surface thereof wherein the prismatic structure comprises a plurality of discontinuous grooves is known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the lighting panel provided by Qiao et al. In columns 2-4, Qiao et al discloses an arrangement of discontinuous grooves on one surface of the light guide (17). Each of the groove is formed by two slopes in which one slope is gentle inclination with the plane of the light guide, i.e. in the range of 1 degree to 15 degrees while the other slope is formed with the plane of the light guide by an inclination in the range of 35 degrees to 55 degrees. It is noted that since the depth of the groove in the range of 5 and 10 micrometers and the angle of the gentle inclination is in the range of 1 degree to 15 degrees; therefore, the length of each discontinuous groove is not smaller than five times as large as a depth of the groove. It is also noted that since the land between two adjacent grooves can be 200 microns; therefore, the area of the discontinuous grooves can be selected or controlled so that it is not larger than 10% of the area of the whole surface of the light guide. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the transparent light guide with prismatic structure formed on one surface thereof as provided by Bao et al and Nishio et al by utilize a prismatic structure as suggested by Qiao et al for the purpose of improving the optical performance of the whole system.

7. Claims 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bao et al in view of Nishio et al as applied to claim 26 above, and further in view of Umemoto et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,727,107, of record).

The optical device having a transparent light guide in which a prismatic structure is formed on one surface thereof as provided by Bao et al and Nishio et al does not disclose that the prismatic structure comprises flat surfaces each having an inclination angle of not larger than 5 degrees with respect to the light guide plane and the projected width of the flat surface is not smaller than 10 times as large as a projected width of the changing slope. However, the use of a light guide having a prismatic structure formed on one surface thereof wherein the prismatic structure comprises a plurality of continuous grooves having the mentioned features is known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the lighting panel provided by Umemoto et al. In columns 6-7 and shown in figures 5(a-d), Umemoto et al discloses a light guide having prismatic structure formed on one surface thereof wherein the prismatic structure comprises continuous grooves

Application/Control Number: 10/735,209

Art Unit: 2872

each formed by a long facet and a short facet wherein the angle defined by the long facet and the light guide plane is 2 degrees or less and the angle defined by the short facet and the light guide plane is in the range of 25 to 50 degrees. See columns 6-7. Regarding to the feature related to the comparison between the projected width of the flat surface is not smaller than 10 times as large as a projected width of the changing slope, such feature is disclosed by Umemoto in column 6, lines 10-18, for example. Regarding to the feature related to the shape of the grooves as recited in claim 32, such a feature is not critical to the invention and is also an obvious matter to one skilled in the art. The support for that conclusion is found in the present application in which the present claim 31 recites that the groove has a triangle configuration and the art of Umemoto et al in column 6 and figs. 5-6 in which they disclose that the shape of the groove/protrusion has a tetragon configuration. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the transparent light guide with prismatic structure formed on one surface thereof as provided by Bao et al and Nishio et al by utilize a prismatic structure as suggested by Umemoto et al for the purpose of improving the optical performance of the whole system.

Page 8

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,209 Page 9

Art Unit: 2872

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2316. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Q Nguyen
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872

**: